



WAKE COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION
MEETING MINUTES
July 24, 2012

<u>Board Members Present</u>	<u>Staff Members Present</u>	
Kevin L. Hill, Chair,	Superintendent Anthony J. Tata	Stephen Gainey
Keith Sutton, Vice Chair	Judy Pepler	Lisa Grillo
Jim Martin	Cris Mulder	Marvin Connelly
Debra Goldman	David Neter	Joe Desormeaux
John Tedesco	Don Haydon	Mark Winters
Chris Malone	Danny Barnes	
Deborah Prickett	Pamela Kinsey-Barker	<u>Board Attorney Present</u>
Susan P. Evans	Andre Smith	Ann Majestic
	Jacqueline Ellis	

Chairman Kevin L. Hill called the meeting to order at 5:59 p.m. Everyone recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

Chairman’s Comments

- Chairman Hill thanked the students, parents, staff, and principals for what has been a smooth opening of school for year-round schools.

Superintendent’s Comments

- Superintendent Tata began his comments with a Family and Community Engagement video regarding the opening of Rolesville Middle School.
- Two weeks ago, staff welcomed back more than 26,000 year-round students on tracks 1, 2, and 3 for the start of the 2012-13 school year with track four coming back on July 30th.
- Rolesville Middle school is one of four new schools in Wake County increasing our number of schools to 169. Superintendent Tata invited parents to visit the district’s website homepage for essential information and back-to-school resources.
- The district is continuing to enroll new students daily; there are 12, 353 kindergarten students registered and over 153,000 students in the district.
- Superintendent Tata shared that the district saw significant student achievement gains thanks to the hard work of principals, teachers, and staff.
- On August 2nd, the Department of Public Instruction will release the final EOC/EOG numbers in the ABCs of Public Education and graduation rates. Superintendent Tata looks forward to sharing Wake’s results. Preliminary data shows increases in the percentage of Wake County Public School students performing at grade level with reading and math scores for economically disadvantaged students at their highest levels since standards were reset in those subject areas.
- Superintendent Tata congratulated five 2012 graduates who are among more than 2,000 additional winners of National Merit Scholarships financed by colleges and universities.
- With the 2012 Olympics kicking off, Superintendent Tata wished former Wake graduates the district’s very best; Hector Cotto is on his way to London to compete in the 11- meter high

hurdles; he was a Green Hope High track and field athlete as well as member of the football team. He went on to graduate from East Carolina University. Jesse Williams, a Broughton High School graduate who was a wrestling and track star athlete who will compete in the high jump.

- Superintendent Tata thanked Rex Healthcare for providing the first ever free ecko-cardiogram screenings for East Wake High School football team. Rex is donating East Wake's athletic department's automated defibrillators to have on hand for sporting events.
- On July 25th, the district is hosting in partnership with Marbles Kids Museum an important opportunity for Title I schools students and parents. Wake County has 39 Title I schools participating in Summer School across 19 different sites promoting family involvement and student learning through play and exploration.

Board Member Comments

- Dr. Martin shared that he had the opportunity to work with the counseling staff at West Lake Elementary School in applying for their RAMP certification. He had a great visit with the Moore Square faculty as they prepared for school to begin.
- Mr. Tedesco shared that he had the opportunity to see some of the district's middle schools open year-round this year as well. North Garner got off to a great year. He also shared that he was able to see middle school from a parental perspective as his son began his first day of middle school at Centennial Middle School, which did an amazing job with orientation. Mr. Tedesco commended Dr. Bryant and her team.
- Mrs. Evans thanked year-round principals and staff kicking off the school year effectively. Mrs. Evans acknowledged that she has heard from many community members since year-round schools have opened with concerns regarding the bus routing. She appreciates hearing the feedback and has forwarded most of the concerns on to appropriate staff in the Transportation Department and they have been particularly responsive to the parents concerns and have made some adjustments where they felt it was warranted. Mrs. Evans thanked Mr. Snidemiller and Mr. Haydon for their assistance.
- Ms. Goldman shared that she appreciates hearing from parents regarding any issue but especially assignment issues; having gone through the process with someone she finds that staff is effective and what is happening in the Student Assignment Office is incredible. Ms. Goldman gave kudos to the staff in Student Assignment. She also gave kudos to the parents for continuing to advocate for their children.
- Mrs. Prickett thanked Mrs. Pepler, Chief of Staff/Chief Transformation Officer for coordinating all of the assignment responsibilities. She has done a great job in trying to educate parents and working with parents.
- Chairman Hill sent regrets from Mrs. Kushner not being able to attend the meeting as her daughter is participating in an athletic event overseas and she is accompanying her. Also, Chairman Hill shared that he had an issue in his family and would be turning over the meeting to Vice Chairman, Keith Sutton.

APPROVAL OF THE MEETING AGENDA

Chairman Hill pulled Consent Item #8, Facilities, DESIGN CONSULTANT AGREEMENTS: PANTHER CREEK 9TH GRADE CENTER, Action Item #22, Transformation, STRATEGIC PLAN FOR THE WAKE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOL SYSTEM, and Action Item #23, STUDENT ASSIGNMENT PLAN POTENTIAL PLAN MODIFICATION ON HP SEATS.

Mrs. Prickett had concerns with pulling Item #8, Design Consultant Agreements: Panther Creek 9th Grade Center from the Agenda and wanted the item placed on Action. Superintendent Tata stated that staff felt that it was premature before there was an easement that would allow the district to move forward.

Chairman Hill stated that the following items would move from Consent to Action, Item #7, Facilities, INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT- LEASE OF FORMER A.A. THOMPSON SCHOOL SITE FROM WAKE COUNTY, Item #8, DESIGN CONSULTANT AGREEMENTS: PANTHER CREEK 9TH GRADE CENTER, Item #14, SCHEMATIC DESIGN: RICHLAND CREEK ELEMENTARY (E-25), and Item #15, APPROVAL OF CAPITAL BOND ASSUMPTIONS FOR THE NEXT CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Mrs. Prickett shared that on Item #17, she had first asked for the item to be moved from Consent to Action. Mrs. Prickett thanked Dr. Connelly for providing a thorough answer to her question. He provided a lot of details regarding the item and she is now fine with the item remaining on the Consent Agenda due to the work that was done prior to the meeting.

John Tedesco made a motion to approve the Amended Agenda. The motion was seconded by Debra Goldman. The motion was unanimously approved.

PUBLIC COMMENT – 6 P.M.

Citizens who sign up to address the Board during public comment will be called on in priority order first for items on the agenda and then for items not on the agenda. Each individual speaker will be allowed three minutes for remarks. Issues or concerns involving personnel matters are not appropriate for this public comment setting. After 30 minutes of public comment, any speakers remaining will be recognized at the end of the agenda for their comments.

- **Kalyan Davuluri** – Mr. Davuluri shared that with the opening of the 9th grade center, the current plan is to route the school bus traffic through the community. Mr. Davuluri stated that the bus traffic and any additional traffic that will come through will present a safety concern to the community. Mr. Davuluri urged the Board and the Facilities staff to look at alternate routes.
- **Leanne Gemma** – Ms. Gemma shared that she has looked at the proposed plan sites that were received regarding the design consultant agreements for Panther Creek High School and expressed her concerns of the traffic plans and the traffic being routed through her neighborhood. Ms. Gemma shared that she lives in a small residential community and Winding Pine Trail is not meant to handle the volume of traffic that would come through when school dismisses or when a recreation event ends. Ms Gemma stated that Winding Pine Trail is the only entrance and exit into their community. Ms. Gemma urged the Board to consider more appropriate options for the traffic such as using Kit Creek.
- **Michael Kelley** – Mr. Kelley shared that he is a resident of Waterford Estates and expressed his concerns of traffic coming through Winding Pine Trail. Mr. Kelley shared that Winding Pine Trail is a very narrow road and cannot handle the amount of traffic that would develop. Mr. Kelley shared that Kit Creek would be a better alternative as it is built for that type of traffic and is an easy extension. Mr. Kelley urged the Board to reconsider the plans for M-16 middle school as well; he wants to ensure that the neighborhood is taken into consideration and if alternatives may be found.

- **Pankaj Raj** – Mr. Raj shared that he is a resident of the Waterford Estates community and he shared the same concerns of his fellow neighbors regarding the traffic concerns on Winding Point Trail. Mr. Raj shared that his main concern is the safety of the children in the community.
- **Donna Thorpe** – Ms. Thorpe shared that as a result of the Choice Plan, her child was not able to get a seat at the same traditional school as their neighborhood as there were no proximity seats before they applied. Ms. Thorpe shared that the feeder patterns created did not take into account students that came from a year-round seeking a traditional calendar. Ms Thorpe is looking for a solution; she has called and emailed student assignment, emailed the Superintendent and Board members. Ms. Thorpe was told that priority should have been given, but not much else could be done but apply for a transfer. On May 15th, she did apply for a transfer however the request was denied and was denied again at an appeal hearing even though they fit the criteria for calendar alignment. Ms. Thorpe was told that her child could attend Dillard Drive, which would be acceptable if Penny Road was sent to Dillard, however they are being sent to Apex Middle. Ms. Thorpe shared that she would appreciate her daughter being given a seat at Apex Middle when a seat becomes available. Ms. Thorpe requested that the Board give the parents who already live here and have a child starting middle school, and have been on waiting lists first dibs on any available seats that open at Apex Middle.
- **Siobhan Thorpe** – Miss Thorpe stated that she felt that she should be allowed to attend Apex Middle School when a seat becomes available. She knows that the Board is working to fix the assignment plan but is not sure why she has to wait a year. To her, family time is important and if she attends the school that she has been assigned she feels it will cut into the family time they currently have.

CONSENT ITEMS

John Tedeso made a motion to approve the amended Consent Agenda. The motion was seconded by Debra Goldman.

APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

- | | |
|-------------------|---|
| 6. April 10, 2012 | - Board of Education Meeting Minutes |
| April 24, 2012 | - Board of Education Meeting Minutes |
| May 10, 2012 | - Student Achievement Committee Meeting Minutes |
| May 24, 2012 | - Facilities Committee Meeting Minutes |

FACILITIES

9. RESOLUTION: FUNDING FOR MUNICIPAL COLLABORATION PROJECTS

This resolution requests an appropriation from restricted fund balance to Rolesville Middle of \$44,370.92. This amount represents municipal collaboration funds that were closed into a restricted fund balance at fiscal year end June 30, 2012, and must be reallocated for the new fiscal year 2012 – 2013. Fiscal Implications: Total of this appropriation request is \$44,370.92. Savings: Not applicable. Recommendation for Action: Board approval is requested.

10. LEASE AGREEMENT: VANGUARD MODULAR BUILDING SYSTEMS ANNUAL LEASE

Staff recommends approval of lease payments to Vanguard Modular Building Systems, totaling \$756,780 for the period July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, in accordance with the Master Lease Agreement approved by the Board of Education on March 6, 2012. The lease payments are for

18 existing modular buildings at 13 campuses (ten 8-classroom units, six 6-classroom units, and two 4-classroom units). Fiscal Implications: Funding is available in the capital outlay portion of the operating budget for 2012-2013. Savings: Not applicable. Recommendation for Action: Board approval is requested.

11. LEASE AGREEMENT: M/SPACE HOLDINGS ANNUAL LEASE

Staff recommends approval of lease payments to M/Space Holdings, LLC, totaling \$146,340 for the period July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, in accordance with the Master Lease Agreement approved by the Board of Education on March 6, 2012. The lease payments are for 3 existing eight-classroom modular buildings at three campuses. Fiscal Implications: Funding is available in the capital outlay portion of the operating budget for 2012-2013. Savings: Not applicable. Recommendation for Action: Board approval is requested.

12. LEASE AGREEMENT: MODULAR SPACE CORPORATION ANNUAL LEASE

Staff recommends approval of lease payments to Modular Space Corporation, totaling \$239,604 for the period July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, in accordance with the Master Lease Agreement approved by the Board of Education on March 6, 2012. The lease payments are for 80 existing modular buildings at 32 campuses (1 six-classroom unit, 2 two-classroom units, 2 multipurpose units, 3 restroom units, and 72 single units). Fiscal Implications: Funding is available in the capital outlay portion of the operating budget for 2012-2013. Savings: Not applicable. Recommendation for Action: Board approval is requested.

13. CLOSEOUT CHANGE ORDER FOR WALNUT CREEK ELEMENTARY

Board approval is requested for Change Order No. 8 to Clancy & Theys Construction Company for their Construction Management at Risk (CMAR) contract for Walnut Creek Elementary. This change order, a reduction in the amount of \$490,893.99, provides final reconciliation of the remaining contractual amount and will complete this contract. The final Guaranteed Maximum Price for this contract is \$14,665,396. The CMAR contracts allow for unused construction manager contingency funds to be credited to the construction manager as follows: 40% for meeting substantial completion; 20% for meeting final completion; and up to 40% for meeting specific performance measures. This construction manager met substantial and final completion and met 94% of the performance measures. The attachments show how the unused construction manager contingency was calculated, the process for evaluation of their performance, and other key criteria about this contract. Fiscal Implications: Funding is available from the total project budget of \$19,325,874, of which \$18,746,533 is from CIP 2006 and \$579,341 is from CIP 2006 Offsite (Public) Improvements. Savings: The reallocation of \$490,894 is planned to fund the Board approved February 2012 spending plan. Recommendation for Action: Board approval is requested.

FINANCE

16. AUTHORIZATION FORMS

These authorization forms designate the persons authorized to sign vouchers for the payment of money on behalf of Martin Middle, Olive Chapel Elementary, West Lake Middle and West Millbrook Middle. Fiscal Implications: These authorization forms are required for bank records. Savings: Not Applicable. Recommendation for Action: Approval of Authorization Forms.

17. REPORT OF ADMINISTRATION APPROVED CONTRACTS

The attached summaries are provided as information in accordance with Board Policy 8361. The

summaries list all change orders, and contracts having a value greater than \$50,000 and not over \$100,000, and purchase orders in excess of \$250,000 for May 2012. Fiscal Implications: Not Applicable. Savings: Not Applicable. Recommendation for Action: Not Applicable.

18. BUDGET AMENDMENTS AND TRANSFERS, 2011-2012

To report changes in the budget resolutions resulting from transactions processed during May, 2012. Fiscal Implications:

Adjust State Public School Fund	+	\$	172,401
Adjust Local Current Expense Fund	+	\$	255,000
Adjust Federal Grants Fund – State	+	\$	5,042
Adjust Capital Outlay Fund	+	\$	0
Adjust Multiple Enterprise Fund	+	\$	59,650
Adjust Direct Grants Fund	+	\$	112,727
Adjust Specific Revenue Fund	+	\$	79,394
 Net Changes	 +	 \$	 684,214

Savings: Not Applicable. Recommendation for Action: No action. In accordance with Budget Resolution, administration is reporting changes in appropriations.

TRANSFORMATION

19. GRANT PROPOSALS

- Competitive (#00113): Toshiba, American Foundation Grants / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#00213): Target Stores, Field Trip Grant Program / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#00313): Belk Foundation, Foundation Grant Program / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#00413): Verizon Foundation, Verizon Foundation Grants / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#00513): Wal-Mart Store and Sam’s Club, Giving Program / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#00613): Zebulon Chamber of Commerce, School Grant Program / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#00713): Lowe’s Corporation, Charitable and Educational Foundation Grants / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#00813): The Mockingbird Foundation, Inc., Mockingbird Grant Program / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#00913): WeAreTeachers, Teacher and Classroom Grants / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#01013): The Heart of America Foundation, READesign® Library Makeover Project and Books From the Heart® Grant Programs / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#01113): Digital Wish, Teacher and Classroom Grants / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#01213): Saucony, Run for Good Foundation / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#01313): Wake Electric Membership Corporation, Classroom Technology Grant Program / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#01413): Home Depot, Building Healthy Communities Grant Program / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#01513): Office Depot, Listen Learn Care / Individual Schools.

- Competitive (#01613): Wake Electric Cooperative, Bright Ideas Grant Program / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#01713) DonorsChoose, Teacher Classroom Grant Program / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#01813): Bayer USA Foundation, Bayer Science an Education Foundation Grants / Central Services.
- Competitive (#01913): Triangle Community Foundation (TCF), Community Grantmaking Program / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#02013): North Carolina Community Foundation (NCCF), Community Grant Programs / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#02113): American Honda Foundation, Honda Foundation Grant Program / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#02213): State of North Carolina Office of the Governor, Share Our Strength No Kid Hungry North Carolina Program / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#02313): Library of Congress, Teaching with Primary Sources (TPS) / Central Services.
- Competitive (#02413): US Department of Education, Teacher Incentive Fund (TIF) / Central Services.
- Competitive (#02513): GlaxoSmithKline, Corporate Grants / Central Services.
- Competitive (#02613): Mimio Interactive Teaching Technologies, DYMO/Mimio Science and Math Grant / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#02713): ING Foundation, ING Foundation Grants / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#02813): Target, Undesignated Grant Program / Central Services.
- Competitive (#02913): Burroughs Wellcome Fund, Science and Mathematics Grant Program / Individual Schools.
- Competitive (#03013): North Carolina School Library Media Association (NCSLMA), NCSLMA Grant Programs / Individual Schools.
- Contract (#03113): PRC 602 - Wake County Smart Start, Parents As Teachers Home Visiting Program / Central Services.
- Contract (#03213): PRC 604 - Wake County Smart Start, Supporting School Readiness Program / Central Services.

Fiscal Implications: any required cash and/or in-kind matching contributions vary by grant program. Savings: Grant funding supplements existing resources. Recommendation for Action: Staff is requesting Board approval.

HUMAN RESOURCES

20. RECOMMENDATION FOR EMPLOYMENT

1. Professional
2. Support
3. Contract Central Services Administrator

21. REQUEST FOR LEAVE(S)

ACTION ITEMS

FACILITIES

7. INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT – LEASE OF FORMER A.A. THOMPSON SCHOOL SITE FROM WAKE COUNTY

On June 19, 2012, the Board authorized staff to negotiate an agreement with Wake County for use of the former A.A. Thompson School at 567 East Hargett Street as the site for the Wake Young Men’s Leadership Academy. Terms and conditions of an Interlocal Agreement have been reached with Wake County. On July 2, 2012, the Wake County Board of Commissioners approved the Interlocal Agreement and authorized the County Manager to enter into a separate lease agreement on the property with the School Board for a term of up to Thirty (30) years at a rate of \$1.00 per year, subject to other conditions acceptable to the County Attorney. A copy of the County-approved Interlocal Agreement is attached hereto. Fiscal Implications: Rental rate is \$1.00 per year. Savings: Not applicable. Recommendation for Action: Staff requests that Board approve the Interlocal Agreement, and authorize the Superintendent to enter into a separate lease agreement on the property with the County for a term of up to Thirty (30) years at a rate of \$1.00 per year.

Don Haydon presented information to the Board. John Tedesco made a motion to approve, seconded by Debra Goldman. Jim Martin made a motion to amend, removing the 4th whereas bullet from the agreement. The motion was seconded by Susan P. Evans.

Ms. Goldman shared that she sees an inherent problem with co-locating both a male single-sex academy and a female single sex-academy on the same location; it negates the purpose of both single sex academies.

Mr. Hill shared that on page 3, 5C has an issue with making a commitment when talking about 30 years. “In addition to the above, the school board shall have the right to authorize the use of any properties identified in paragraph 3”. Does that mean that this property would not necessarily be tied to the academy only, if there is a decision down the road to say that we wanted to re-locate it. Mr. Haydon stated that it was his understanding is that it is giving the Board the right to lease the building for \$1 a year to use as WCPSS sees fit. The initial purpose is to use it for the WYMLA.

Mr. Hill shared that on page 4, #6D, question, “County Commissioners will provide funding for school board staff engaged in the acquisition of new school sites, contracts, or site evaluation.” He asked if the district already does that and how is it tied to this agreement with this site? Mr. Haydon shared that the facilities department staff is funded under the Capital Improvement Program, stating what is already being done. It does not need to be in there. Mr. Haydon then asked Mrs. Majestic if staff made changes to the agreement, will staff need to send it back to the County to get them to change the agreement.

Ann Majestic, Board Attorney, shared that they did allow their attorney to make adjustments as she understood their motion. There is some room there. It will be Mr. Warren’s decision whether it needs to go back to their board.

Mr. Hill shared that he thinks it is extemporaneous and do not know why it should be in there.

Mrs. Majestic agreed. It may be because it doesn't hurt the Board of Education. It simply asks staff to explore removal of that paragraph it will leave the Board a little flexibility; they have some reason on their side that they think it is important to have.

Mr. Hill asked if the Board can ask staff to explore without a motion? Mrs. Majestic stated that it would be good if the Board can get consensus to request removal of that.

The Board then voted on the motion to amend, removing the 4th whereas bullet from the Agreement. The Board voted 3 to 5, with Jim Martin, Keith Sutton, and Susan P. Evans voting to remove the clause and Debra Goldman, John Tedesco, Kevin L. Hill, Chris Malone, and Deborah Prickett voting against removing the clause. The motion failed to pass.

The Board went back to the original motion, approval of the contract. Ann Majestic asked a clarifying question regarding 5C, where it talks about the flexibility of our use of the facility; the Attorney is having trouble with the reference to paragraph 3. It doesn't seem to be referring to a paragraph that describes the property; it looks like the property is described in Exhibit A. In paragraph 1, it refers to Exhibit A.

Mr. Haydon shared that staff will change paragraph 3 to Exhibit A.

Mr. Sutton shared that the contract gives him a little cause for concern and asked Mrs. Majestic, if she does not usually have an opportunity to review contracts before. Mrs. Majestic shared that it is usually Rod Malone and other lawyers in her office that reviews contracts.

After some discussion from the Board, Mrs. Majestic stated that there is not a description of the property and to refer it back to the Board of Education's attorney to look at it and make sure that this is accurate and to clarify what paragraph 3 is. What is it intended to be referring to before the Board responds to the County.

Jim Martin made a motion to table the agreement and have the legal staff review it. The motion was seconded by Kevin L. Hill. The Board voted 4 to 4, with Jim Martin, Keith Sutton, Kevin L. Hill, and Susan P. Evans voting "yes" and Debra Goldman, John Tedesco, Chris Malone, and Deborah Prickett voting "no". The motion failed to pass.

Mrs. Majestic shared that it would still be possible to approve; she knows that the County approved the contract pending any final review by their attorney. The Board may want to consider that and see if that would be agreeable to a majority of the Board. There is a discrepancy here, we just need to resolve it.

The Board went back to the original motion to approve the interlocal agreement. Mrs. Majestic asked if there was anything in that, that directs the staff to have the Board Attorney make sure that this issue is reconciled in conversation with the County Attorney.

Kevin L. Hill made an amendment to the original motion that the Board ask the Board of Education's Attorney to work with the County Attorney to reconcile the issue. The amendment was seconded by Debra Goldman.

Jim Martin asked for clarification of what reconciliation means.

Mrs. Majestic shared that what needs to happen is that the language in 5C be describing the property that is subject to the lease. The reference to paragraph 3, should be to Exhibit A. The attorneys wouldn't change anything else about that paragraph, we would just need to verify that it is referring to the property subject to the lease.

It was clarified that the board attorney's understanding is that if paragraph 3 is really intended to refer to a description of the property that is subject to the lease, then all of the other defines what the use is. There is no need to change the language, its gives broad flexibility to the Board in using the property, the Board just needs to make sure that 5C is describing the Thompson School. The reference to paragraph 3 does not seem to be doing that.

Mr. Hill questioned that if the Board approves the contract, and asks Joint Counsel to verify what the reference is in that paragraph; if it turns out not to be accurate, the Board has approved it. With that being said, Mrs. Majestic stated that the better language is that the Board approves it on the condition that the reference to paragraph 3 in 5C be corrected to make it clear that it is referring to the property that is subject to the lease.

Kevin L. Hill changed his amendment to that. Debra Goldman seconded.

Mr. Sutton then questioned 6D- Obligations and Rights of the County. It seems to him that it explicitly says that the Board of Education would be giving the County Commissioners authority to engage in the acquisition of new school sites, contracts, site evaluations, and due diligence studies for Capital Improvement Program funds. It did not appeal to him to be specific to Thompson or germane to the Thompson site. He has serious concerns of what that means, especially with the Board already having some conversations around this issue of site acquisition being a function given over to the County. Not sure what the intent was to include this paragraph, but he has concerns.

Mr. Sutton sought clarification from Board Counsel, because the agreement says specifically, "engaging the acquisition of new school sites", which has nothing to do with an existing site.

Mrs. Majestic shared that she understood Mr. Sutton's point, which is "it doesn't seem germane to this contract." It's not talking about leasing this property it's a more generic statement. Mrs. Majestic stated that may be just stating what the practice is, which is, the County does provide funding to school board staff engaged in the acquisition of new school sites. That comes out of Capital, which has been the practice. Why it needs to be in the contract is the question. Mrs. Majestic stated that it is not saying that the County will be doing it, which she thinks is the Source of the discussion.

Susan Evans made a motion to amend the contract to remove the statement in item 6D. The motion was seconded by Jim Martin. The Board voted 4 to 4, with Jim Martin, Keith Sutton, Kevin L. Hill and Susan P. Evans voting to amend the contract, and Debra Goldman, John Tedesco, Chris Malone, and Deborah Prickett voting not to amend the contract. The motion failed to pass.

The Board returned to the original motion which included the amendment of the Board

approving it, on the condition that the reference to paragraph 3 in 5C is corrected to make it clear that it is referring to the property that is subject to the lease. The Board voted 5 to 3, with Debra Goldman, John Tedesco, Kevin L. Hill, Chris Malone, and Deborah Prickett voting “yes” to approve, and Jim Martin, Keith Sutton, and Susan P. Evans voting “no”, not to approve. The motion passed.

8. DESIGN CONSULTANT AGREEMENTS: PANTHER CREEK 9th GRADE CENTER

In accordance with the modified spending plan approved at the February 7, 2012 Board meeting, projects are being planned with the available savings in CIP 2006, including the 9th Grade Center for Panther Creek High School to be located on the future M-16 middle school site in Cary. Negotiations have been completed with Gurel Architecture, Inc., for the design of this modular school and with McNeely Associates, PA for the site design. The modular school design is in accordance with the Master Mobile Classroom Design Consultant Services Agreement and the site design is in accordance with the Master Landscape Architect Services Agreement. Fiscal Implications: Funding is available from the \$910,000 currently appropriated for the Garner and Cary 9th Grade Center budget. This includes compensation for Gurel Architecture, Inc. in the amount of \$265,000 and for McNeely Associates, PA in the amount of \$176,900. Of these amounts, Gurel has been compensated \$5000 and McNeely \$40,700 under prior contracts for this project. Due to limited currently appropriated funds, the consultants are only authorized to provide services through the 60% construction document phase. Therefore the contract for Gurel Architecture, Inc. is for \$140,000 and McNeely Associates, PA for \$50,200. A future reallocation from Reserve will be needed to fully fund these contracts and to fund construction. Savings: Not applicable. Recommendation for Action: Board approval is requested.

Mr. Haydon shared that staff did request that the item be pulled from the Agenda as staff felt it was premature; staff recently received a notification from the community and the Town of Cary that they had concerns as well with the staff's schedule. Mr. Haydon shared that staff had been in contact with the church that granted the district an easement and the district wants to acquire permanent ownership of it. The church is meeting on July 31st to act on that. Staff decided it would be better to hold off on making the decision to award the contracts until there is some closure on the church's meeting and for staff to go back to the Department of Transportation to ask if there is another solution to access the site than through a residential community.

Ms. Goldman shared that she is disappointed that again, the Board is learning information through the public and through the media. Ms. Goldman shared that when the Board goes forward with this type of building plan, before anything is brought to the Board it has to be vetted with community and the municipality. Ms. Goldman shared that the Board should not have had something presented that the Town of Cary negated. Through the media, the Board learned that the Town came forward and said that they were not going to allow the fully modular campus. Ms. Goldman stated that the Board has not prioritized building on the M-16 site yet. Ms. Goldman inquired if there would be a permanent location on M-16. Mr. Haydon stated that when the middle school is eventually built, yes. Ms. Goldman inquired where staff was with the Town of Cary? Mr. Haydon shared that staff went before the Town Council a couple of weeks ago, staff presented information to amend their ordinances to permit a modular campus on a site that did not have a permanent school building on it. They denied that. Subsequent to that, staff met with the Town Manager, Town Planner, and the Town Engineer at that meeting, they said staff could obtain the easement fee simple ownership, which makes it a contiguous site and it would be within the Town ordinances to put the modular campus there as it is on the same

property as Alston Ridge Elementary; staff came back with that to the Board a couple of weeks ago and that is what staff has been moving forward to try to make happen. Staff has been working with the church to seek their approval to acquire that property, the district has already paid them for the easement. At the same time, planners working with the Department of Transportation and others to try to figure out how the district gains access for the ninth grade center and for the future middle school. Mr. Haydon stated that reasons that swayed toward use of the modular site was the fact that staff would be able to put some infrastructure in that it would be re-used for the middle school site, the staff saved six million dollars overall in construction as what is put in now will not have to be removed for use with the middle school.

Ms. Goldman shared that she was uneasy about the way this issue has gone from the start to where the Board is currently. Ms. Goldman shared that she has a real problem with the whole site.

Mr. Sutton stated that given Ms. Goldman's concerns and that there are a couple of concerns still in play, it would be prudent to table this item. Ms. Goldman shared that it would be prudent to vote this item down and revisit the site for the location of the Panther Creek ninth grade center.

Dr. Martin shared that the Board is listening to what families are saying regarding transportation which is one of the reasons Mr. Haydon said that the item should be tabled. Dr. Martin shared that it was his understanding that the Town of Cary was not opposed to the modular campus, they did not want to revise their ordinance. It was the Town of Cary that worked with the Board of Education to recognize that purchasing that land would allow that site to be built. It is Dr. Martin's understanding that the Town of Cary's concern was the re-writing of their ordinance, not that the site would not exist. Mr. Haydon shared that his understanding is that the reason it was rejected was because the Town did not want it to apply town wide. It would be an ordinance change not specific to the site.

Mr. Malone inquired if the site off of I-540 is still available? Mr. Haydon shared that he thought it was, but was not sure. Mr. Malone asked if the Board did not look at possible egress and ingress on the site already to know whether or not there was another option? Mr. Haydon shared that staff did look at that in meeting with the Department of Transportation. Staff thought initially that the district could go in and out the single strip the district has an easement on. When staff met with the Department of Transportation they said "no", the district needed two separate entrances. The concept was to route the bus traffic through the community and the parent and carpool through the other road. Mr. Haydon shared that staff received the feedback and now know the concern of the community; staff will meet with the Department of Transportation to ask if there is another route? Mr. Malone inquired if staff looked at the topography and the area to determine whether or not roads are being planned on being built sometime in the next five to ten years. Mr. Haydon stated that it was his understanding that the road is going to be extended beyond the community in the future.

Mr. Malone shared that more cooperation may be needed to include more transparency. To make a decision to move forward may be to scrap this and go back to the original site

Mrs. Evans shared that Mr. Haydon did speak to the Board at the last Closed Session about this potential situation with purchasing the title to the property from the church. Mrs. Evans shared that she has been hearing from the families in the neighboring community about the traffic

concerns. Mrs. Evans shared that she knows that Mr. Haydon and his department are taking those concerns very seriously as well. Mr. Haydon met with some of the residents on July 23rd along with a member of the Cary staff. Mrs. Evans stated that she called Ms. Goldman the morning after the very first vote to site the ninth grade center at the Pleasant Grove location. Mrs. Evans stated that she talked with Ms. Goldman about her concerns and the concerns board members were already hearing from parents in the area that did not want to see the school go that far away. Mrs. Evans asked Ms. Goldman if she would be willing to revisit that decision; Ms. Goldman was also concerned at that time with the feedback she was receiving from the community. Mrs. Evans shared that Ms. Goldman was overwhelmingly supportive of revisiting that decision.

Mr. Sutton requested that all board members be respectful and not be specific in calling out other board members.

Mrs. Prickett shared that when the vote was changed, it was an 8 to 1 to begin with for the Pleasant Grove site. Through the process the vote was overturned; Mrs. Prickett shared that Ms. Goldman always had the families and the community in her thoughts about the situation; Mrs. Prickett talked with Ms. Goldman as well and talked with her at length about the situation; they both agreed that it was important to hear from families. Mrs. Prickett shared that the board has heard from the families in this area for quite some time and they do not want mobile units on a plot of land. Mrs. Prickett shared that this is definitely a mistake to go ahead this way; it seems to be a constant irritant she does not feel that she has received updates like she should have. Mrs. Prickett stated from the Closed Session meeting that the board discussed the strip of land that would be purchased from the church; she has not heard anything else. Mrs. Prickett shared that the Town of Cary has spoken loud and clear that they do not want modular units sitting on a piece of land. To Mrs. Prickett, this is a major mistake; the Board needs to go back and secure the Pleasant Grove site for the ninth grade center. Mrs. Prickett shared that the Board should vote on the item as it was on the agenda and that she would not be voting to support it as the school system should not be spending any more money on putting modular units on a piece of land that the Town of Cary does not want.

Ms. Goldman shared that she did agree to revisit and have more discussion on the issue. She did not promise a vote one way or another because she wanted to hear more information and was hopeful that staff would come up with a third site. Ms. Goldman shared that board members making decisions at 1:45 in the morning as far as land acquisition, human resource decisions, making decisions that affect many is unconscionable. Ms. Goldman shared that trying to buy the land to annex and make the property one contiguous site by buying the church easement violates the spirit of the ordinance.

Mr. Sutton shared that he was concerned about the accusations and language in terms of staff loopholing or usurping the authority of Town Council. Mr. Sutton state that staff does not make any of these decisions, the Board makes the decision to go forward or not. Mr. Sutton gave the Superintendent an opportunity to speak to the language.

Superintendent Tata shared that staff's effort and focus has been to work with the Town Manager and the members of the Council to try to find a practical solution to this. The conversations that Mr. Haydon, Mr. Desormeaux, and others have had have been at the Superintendent's direction to attempt to find a solution to the problem. Superintendent Tata stated that there is no intent to

usurp any authority or to circumvent any decision. One of the reasons that staff recommended pulling this item was because staff did not feel it was ready to come forth. Staff will continue to work this at the board's direction.

Mr. Tedesco shared that he feels that a lot of Board members do not think that the site is right in general. He appreciates the various considerations but will be voting against it again as he doesn't believe it is the right site. Mr. Tedesco does feel that there has been a break down in process; a lot of the process in various steps became very disjointed along the way.

Mrs. Prickett made a motion for the Board to move forward with the Pleasant Grove Church site.

Mr. Sutton stated that the Board has an item on the agenda that is not being recommended or supported by staff to approve a design consultant agreement for Panther Creek. If someone wishes to make a motion regarding that agenda item for approval or not, a board member may do so. Mr. Sutton went on to state that there is not an item on the agenda for Pleasant Grove or any other action, so he will not be entertaining a motion to approve Pleasant Grove or any other action or site. The only item on the agenda relative to this discussion is to approve the design consultant agreement for the Panther Creek Ninth Grade Center which there is no staff recommendation for at this time.

Jim Martin made a motion as per staff's request to table the item until such time that staff is ready to bring a recommendation to move forward. The motion was seconded by Susan P. Evans. The Board voted 4 to 4 with Jim Martin, Keith Sutton, Kevin L. Hill, and Susan P. Evans voting in favor of tabling the item and Debra Goldman, John Tedesco, Chris Malone, and Deborah Prickett voting against tabling the item. The motion failed to pass.

Mrs. Prickett made a parliamentary inquiry on how to word a motion or come up with something that points staff in the direction of having the brick and mortar building at the Pleasant Grove site. Mrs. Majestic, Board Attorney, shared that it feels related to, but it is not germane to the item that is on the agenda. Mrs. Majestic reminded Mrs. Prickett that it would be a motion to rescind, even if it were proper, and it would take a 2/3 vote because all Board members are not present and there was not prior notice.

John Tedesco made a motion to disapprove design consultant agreements for the Panther Creek Ninth Grade Center. The motion was seconded by Debra Goldman.

Chris Malone made a motion to have a recess. The motion was seconded by Deborah Prickett. Vice Chairman Sutton shared that the motion by Mr. Malone was premature.

The Board voted 4 to 4 to disapprove the design consultant agreements for the Panther Creek Ninth Grade Center with Debra Goldman, John Tedesco, Chris Malone, and Deborah Prickett voting in favor of disapproving the design consultant agreements for the Panther Creek Ninth Grade Center, and Jim Martin, Keith Sutton, Kevin L. Hill, and Susan P. Evans voting against disapproving the design consultant agreements for the Panther Creek Ninth Grade Center. The motion failed to pass.

Chris Malone made a motion to recess. The motion was seconded by Debra Goldman. The Board voted 5 to 3 to recess, with John Tedesco, Debra Goldman, Chris Malone, Deborah

Prickett, and Kevin L. Hill voting “yes” and Susan P. Evans, Jim Martin, and Keith Sutton voting “no”. The motion passed and the Board recessed at 7:42 p.m. The Board returned to Open Session at 7:59 p.m.

John Tedesco made a motion to not to approve any funds for any design work on the property. The motion was seconded by Debra Goldman. John Tedesco amended his motion to include not to release any additional funds for this site to include any design of a modular school on the site. The Board voted 4 to 3, with Debra Goldman, John Tedesco, Chris Malone, and Deborah Prickett voting in favor of not approving any funding for the design consultant agreement. Jim Martin, Keith Sutton, and Susan P. Evans voted against not approving any funding for the design consultant agreement. The motion passed.

14. SCHEMATIC DESIGN: RICHLAND CREEK ELEMENTARY (E-25)

The CIP 2006 School Building Program includes a new elementary school (Richland Creek) to be built in Wake Forest. The schematic design documents, prepared by Small Kane Webster Conley Architects, PA, for the construction of Richland Creek, were presented to and approved by the Facilities Committee on June 12, 2012, and were presented to the full Board at the July 24, 2012 Work Session. Fiscal Implications: The proposed project budget is \$21,553,012, which includes an offsite improvement allowance of \$1,500,000, although the scope for offsite work has not been established yet. A future reallocation from Reserve will be needed at the completion of design to fully fund this project. Savings: Not applicable. Recommendation for Action: Board approval is requested.

Joe Desormeaux, Assistant Superintendent for Facilities, presented information to the Board.

Susan Evans made a motion to approve the schematic design for Richland Creek Elementary School recommended by staff. The motion was seconded by John Tedesco. With no questions from the Board the motion was unanimously approved.

15. APPROVAL OF CAPITAL BOND ASSUMPTIONS FOR THE NEXT CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

WCPSS and Wake County staffs have drafted proposed Capital Planning Issues, which will serve as the basis for developing the next capital improvement program (CIP). The Facilities Committee reviewed the proposed document over several meetings this spring, and at its meeting on June 12, 2012, approved the May 24, 2012 Capital Planning Issues document and recommended that it be forwarded to the full Board for review and approval. At its meeting on June 12th, the Facilities Committee approved the document with the basic text (referring to attached document). At the Facilities Committee meeting on July 10th, the changes noted in blue "Track Changes" text in sections 6a, 8, and 13e were proposed, but the committee did not vote on them. In addition, staff subsequently made the deletion from the chart in section 15. The Board reviewed the document at the work session on July 24, 2012. Fiscal Implications: To be determined as the next CIP is developed. Savings: Not applicable. Recommendation for Action: Staff recommends Board approval of the Capital Planning Issues, dated May 24, 2012, with any revisions made during the Work Session. Staff further recommends that the planning issues document be forwarded to the Board of Commissioners for their review and approval.

Don Haydon, Chief Facilities and Operations Officer and Judy Pepler, Chief of Transformation/Chief of Staff presented information to the Board.

Ms. Goldman inquired, if the Board can obligate future boards and future bond plans to something as it is stated on page 2 where it talks about” the resulting project list will be prioritized and accomplished through a multiple building programs. Future bond programs will be based upon a comprehensive capital improvement plan that addresses construction of new schools and renovation of existing schools.”

Ms. Goldman pointed out on page 10 that, “when new school facilities are designed and operated around a multi-track, year-round calendar, a maximum 33% gain in student capacity over a traditional calendar can be attained at full utilization with all four tracks loaded.” Ms. Goldman stated that if the district is not having full utilization and not loading all four tracks, the Board will not have that savings.

On page 3, #17-funding; lottery funds awarded to the county and Wake County Public School System will be used toward debt service costs of Wake County Public School System general obligation bonds, Ms. Goldman had asked David Neter, Chief Business Officer, to bring forward some information regarding how much the district receives from the lottery each year and a history to be given to each board member.

Mrs. Majestic answered the first question Ms. Goldman posed regarding the last sentence of “future bond programs will be based upon...” by stating that she felt that it was general enough and that it will be based on plans for new schools and renovations; which she does not feel is confining in any way of future boards and feels that it is acceptable.

Mr. Haydon responded to Ms. Goldman’s second inquiry regarding year-round schools and shared that another board member proposed substitute text for this. Mrs. Evans shared that she had some concern with it and contacted staff. Mrs. Evans emailed all board members the following proposed change to Item 8- Year Round Calendar School, *“Operating schools on a multi track year round calendar is one strategy that the district has used to increase the capacity of school buildings. When operated on such a calendar, with full utilization on all four tracks, additional capacity of up to 33% can be gained over using the building as a single-track, traditional calendar school. Any assumptions to establish schools to be operated on the year-round, multi-track schedule will be determined as part of a comprehensive facilities plan that will include a detailed review of capacities at existing schools, assignment and growth patterns, the district’s track record on being able to maintain full utilization on all four tracks of the multi-track schools currently in operation and the resulting actual cost savings from operation of current year-round schools. Public feedback should also be sought to measure the community’s tolerance for these alternate schedules. The assumption at this time is to maintain a minimal portion of schools operated on the year-round, multi-track calendar.”*

Susan Evans made a motion to amend the document with the proposed wording. The motion was seconded by Debra Goldman. The Board unanimously approved the motion to amend the document to include the proposed wording.

Susan Evans made a motion to approve the proposed draft bond planning assumptions as amended. With lack of a second, the motion failed.

Ms. Goldman inquired about #11- Renovation of Existing Facilities on page 11, on how this will affect projects that are already in queue? How does the Board move forward cohesively to approve a list and prioritize to ensure that in a document such as this, that the items that have already been placed in queue not fall to the bottom of the line. Mr. Haydon shared that it would

be a trade off, staff will bring forward to the Board a list of projects with a proposed ranking that takes into account the physical condition of a building, the health and safety issues, the programmatic issues, and student assignment related issues. Mr. Haydon stated that it would not necessarily be in the same order as the ones that didn't get funded before as it may be determined that another building is in worse shape. Mr. Haydon shared that it would be at the Board's discretion to modify the list that the staff would bring. Ms. Goldman would like for staff to find the discussion of the list that the Board looked at last fall and found consensus on and look at it again.

David Neter, Chief Business Officer, shared a summary with the board that staff received from the County. Mr. Neter reminded the Board that lottery funding does not come directly to the Wake County Public School System for school construction, rather it goes from the Lottery Commission directly to the County. Mr. Neter shared that it is estimated that for the current fiscal year it will be \$14 M that the school system will receive, however the school system has only received \$7.9 M. Going forward, it is possible that these amounts will increase, but is likelier that the amounts will decrease. The amounts Wake County receives are based upon several variables; our relative student count and size- relative to other LEAs; based on lottery revenues; the General Assembly – it has the potential to adjust how the proceeds are distributed. Ms. Goldman thanked Mr. Neter for sharing the information.

Dr. Martin shared that he would like to hear the strong rationale on using 100% facility utilization (pg. 9, 6A) which is a change, in the past, the district used a target of 95-97% facility utilization. Mr. Haydon stated that he would respond from two sides, the planner in him, says that "yes" the district should go with 95% at elementary and middle school levels and 97.5% at high school to give the district the flexibility in both assignment and construction. The financial side of him, feels that the 100% should be used; the difference in the two numbers is 7,900 seats system-wide. If the district is going to plan at the lower percentages, then the district will need to build more seats or need to plan for more seats. The actual number the district builds depends on where the line is drawn on the funding list. It is a financial trade off.

Dr. Martin stated that since the capital bond assumptions is a planning document, he recommended that the district stay with 95% facilities utilization for elementary and middle schools and 97.5% facilities utilization for high school. The motion was seconded by John Tedesco. The board unanimously approved the motion.

Dr. Martin stated that he wanted the amendment from Susan Evans to be reflected in the capital bond assumptions summary document. Dr. Martin shared that on page 6, technology is something that needs to be addressed as schools are being built going forward. Dr. Martin shared that the Board does not want to short change the bond for the capital needs. The one-to-one device goal is not one that realistically is implementable in the timeframe the Board is looking at for the bond. Dr. Martin asked if the district can take the transition goals regarding technology and make a commitment to do them. Dr. Martin shared that there is nothing in the planning assumptions that talks about appropriate constraints.

Mr. Neter shared that as staff looked at the technology assumptions and how they were developed they were viewed as aspirations and a target towards the future. Staff does not believe the funding will be available. Mr. Neter shared that the technology assumptions were very general knowing that technology changes. Mr. Neter shared that he did not think it was staff's

intent to say that by the end of the plan, have a one-to-one device initiative, but to work towards that. Wake County will be watching Charlotte-Mecklenburg closely to see how they manage the bring your own device program; they will be implementing the program this year which has a lot of benefits as well as challenges. Mr. Neter went on to say that no one on his technology staff or Mrs. Moore's curriculum and instruction staff believes that technology is a substitute for quality instruction rather it is a supplement to complement other tools. Mr. Neter went on to say that the district still has a lot of work to work through and a component of this work can be student engagement to work through standards not only from a hardware standpoint but from an application standpoint from an instructional perspective at all grade levels and with different respect to intervention, regular training, etc. and develop standards.

Superintendent Tata shared that last week, Data and Accountability did a quick assessment with some principals that had the biggest gains this year looking at quick lessons learned that could be parlayed into year-round schools and traditional schools. Superintendent Tata shared that some form of technology both current and new as an enabler was in every principal's feedback. Mr. Tata shared that the State in the 2015-2016 school year, will dictate one-to-one computing for assessment purposes. The district will need to be on a glide path to hit some semblance of that so that the district can do the assessment that is going to be completely online in 2015-16.

Ms. Goldman shared that the board needs to continue to look at policies regarding technology and the use of it in schools. Ms. Goldman shared that the previous bond took two years of multiple joint meetings with County Commissioners; the bond had support last time and a tremendous outreach effort was made. In the climate that the Board of Education has now, how is the board going to promote a bond and promote trust and faith in this board and school system to get a bond approved in a short window of time while the Board is still changing things. In addition to the bond assumption document that the board looks at a way to build the trust and faith with the community at all levels.

Mr. Sutton shared that it is fully the Chamber's and Partnership's intent to support the bond at such time when a decision has been made to request a bond vote. Mr. Sutton shared that he doesn't believe the county can wait any longer for a bond as the Superintendent stated, the school system is a bond behind. Mr. Sutton shared that it is clear that the district needs the capacity regardless of what kind of assignment plan there is; no plan will be successful if there is not enough capacity to seat students in a growing community.

Ms. Goldman asked staff what the plan is or if there is one yet, for the school system to reach out and promote the bond to the public to get buy in for it. Superintendent Tata stated that once staff gets the assumptions approved, then it lights the fuse to get the staff moving. Mr. Tata shared that Cris Mulder and her team will establish a solid outreach plan as was done with the assignment plan and for other outreach efforts that have been completed. There is a lot of work to do in a short period of time. Mr. Tata shared that he and County Manager, David Cooke have had several discussions already about the bond, planning, and assumptions.

Mrs. Evans shared that the Facilities Committee recognized that the timeline was very aggressive to meet the May 2013 deadline. The committee went back and forth on that and decided that the Board can't know if it can't make it if the Board does not try. The committee's opinion was that the Board try for the May 2013 bond vote, if some of the pieces of the process take longer than expected then the Board may end up with a November 2013 date, the goal is to shoot for May

2013.

Mrs. Prickett shared that when there are changes in the assignment plan mid stream it does make a difference. In looking at the timeline, it is very important for the public to be able to see what the district's greatest capacity needs are and for the Board to have some transparency in that area of the goals the Board has as the district gets ready to build. Mrs. Prickett has felt that during the past process in spending the savings from CIP 2006, the decisions the Board has made have been in a piece meal fashion. Mrs. Prickett shared that it would be good to receive a comprehensive view of the greatest capacity needs the district has.

John Tedesco made a motion to approve the capital bond assumptions for the next capital improvement program with all amendments. The motion was seconded by Debra Goldman. The motion was unanimously approved.

CLOSED SESSION

John Tedesco made a motion at 9:01 p.m. to go into Closed Session to consider confidential personnel information protected under G.S. 143-318.11 (a) (6) and 115C-319, to consider confidential student information protected under G.S. 115C-402 and the Family Educational and Privacy Rights Act, 20 U.S.C. 1232g., and to establish or give instructions concerning the Board's negotiating position related to a potential acquisition or real property, as provided in G.S. 143-318.11 (a) (5). The motion was seconded by Chris Malone. The motion was unanimously approved.

John Tedesco made a motion to return to Open Session at 9:52 p.m. The motion was seconded by Chris Malone. The motion to return to Open Session was unanimously approved.

ACTION ITEMS CONT'D

HUMAN RESOURCES

24. RECOMMENDATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE APPOINTMENT(S)

John Tedesco made a motion to approve the following Administrative Appointments:

- (1). Rose Anne Gonzalez, Applicant to Central Area Superintendent effective 8/1/12.
- (2). Clinton Robinson, Principal at Wake Forest-Rolesville High School to Southwestern Area Superintendent effective 8/1/12.
- (3). Howard Wirt, Applicant to Assistant Superintendent for Academics effective 8/1/12.
- (4). Thomas Dixon, Retiree to Interim Principal at Wake Forest-Rolesville High School effective 8/1/12 – 9/30/12.
- (5). Judy Dudley, Retiree to Interim Principal at Lockhart Elementary School effective 8/1/12 – 8/31/12.
- (6). Kathryn Hutchinson, Assistant Principal at East Millbrook Middle School to Principal at Joyner Elementary School effective 8/1/12.
- (7). Martha McCaskill, Retiree to Interim Principal at East Wake School of Health and Science effective 8/1/12 – 9/28/12.
- (8). Elena Ashburn, Applicant to 2012-2013 Converted Assistant Principal position at Fuquay Varina High School effective 8/15/12 – 6/28/13.
- (9). Brett Brenton, ALC Coordinator at Durant Road Middle School to Assistant Principal at Baileywick Elementary School effective 8/1/12.
- (10). Paige Elliott, Teacher at Fuquay-Varina High School to Assistant Principal at Fuquay-Varina Middle School effective 8/1/12.

- (11). Thomas Gregory, Applicant to Assistant Principal at West Lake Middle School effective 8/1/12.
 - (12). Amy Harmon, Assistant Principal Intern at Davis Drive Middle School to Assistant Principal at Mills Park Middle School effective 8/15/12.
 - (13). Angela Ingham, Instructional Resource Teacher at Walnut Creek Elementary School to Assistant Principal at Briarcliff Elementary School effective 8/1/12.
 - (14). David Jordan, Applicant to 2012-2013 Converted Assistant Principal position at Millbrook High School effective 8/1/12 – 6/14/13.
 - (15). Charles Langley, Retiree to Interim Assistant Principal at Olive Chapel Elementary School effective 8/1/12 – 9/4/12.
 - (16). Craig T. Matthews, Retiree to Interim Assistant Principal at Carver Elementary School effective 7/25/12 – 8/24/12.
 - (17). Maurice Moore, Senior Administrator for Student Support Services to Assistant Principal at Broughton High School effective 8/1/12.
 - (18). Molly Moore, 2011-2012 Converted Assistant Principal position at West Millbrook Middle School to Assistant Principal at Leesville Road High School effective 8/1/12.
 - (19). Lori Nelson, 2011-2012 Converted Assistant Principal position at Ligon Middle School to Assistant Principal at Weatherstone Elementary School effective 8/1/12.
 - (20). Anne Pauls, Teacher at Smith Elementary School to 2012-2013 Converted Assistant Principal position at Smith Elementary School effective 8/1/12 – 6/28/13.
 - (21). Ryan Rosendahl, Teacher at Knightdale High School to Assistant Principal at Knightdale High School effective 8/1/12.
 - (22). Kelli Stefanacci, Teacher at Green Hope High School to Assistant Principal at Green Hope High School effective 8/1/12.
 - (23). Annette Stegner, 2011-2012 Converted Assistant Principal position 50% Instructional Resource Teacher position 50% at Wakefield Middle School to 2012-2013 Converted Assistant Principal position 50% Instructional Resource Teacher position 50% at Wakefield Middle School effective 8/15/12 – 6/14/13.
 - (24). Abby Stotsenberg, 2011-2012 Converted Assistant Principal position at Leesville Road Middle School to 2012-2013 Converted Assistant Principal position at Leesville Road Middle School effective 8/1/12 – 6/14/13.
 - (25). Katherine White, Teacher at Broughton High School to 2012-2013 Converted Assistant Principal position at Broughton High School effective 8/1/12 – 6/28/13.
 - (26). Steven Wolf, Teacher at Millbrook High School to 2012-2013 Converted Assistant Principal position at Middle Creek High School effective 8/15/12 – 6/14/13.
 - (27). Jamal Woods, Applicant to Assistant Principal at Cary High School effective 8/1/12.
- The motion was seconded by Debra Goldman. The motion was unanimously approved.

John Tedesco made a motion to go back into Closed Session at 9:54 p.m. The motion was seconded by Deborah Prickett. The motion was unanimously approved to return to Closed Session.

John Tedesco made a motion to return to Open Session that was seconded by Jim Martin. The motion to return to Open Session was unanimously approved.

ADJOURNMENT

With there being no further business before the Board, John Tedesco made a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded by Susan P. Evans. The motion to adjourn the meeting was unanimously approved at 12:21 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin L. Hill, Chair, Wake County Board of Education

Anthony J. Tata, Superintendent, WCPSS

Melissa R. Allen, Recording Secretary