COMPARISON OF CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AT RISK (CMAR) TO SINGLE PRIME AND DESIGN-BUILD

	SINGLE PRIME	CMAR	Design-Build		Design-Build-Bridging
Justification of delivery method before start	No	No		Yes	
Prime contractor selection	Low bid	Most Qualified	Most Qualified		Top three most qualified & price
Owner control of design process	NA .	More	Less		Better than Design-Build
Contractor involvement in design process	No	Yes		Yes	
Single point of responsibility for design/const.	No	No .		Yes	
Architect as owner advocate	Yes	Yes		No	
Prequalified subcontractors	No	Yes		Yes	
Self perform construction work	Yes	No		Yes	
Potential to shop subcontractors	Yes	No		No	
Potential for fee/profit increase by prime contractor	Yes	No		No	
Transparency of overall process	No	Yes		Yes	
History of MBE participation (PLAN 2004/CIP 2006)	7.50%	23%		Good*	
Change order rate for construction (past 10 yrs.)	Historically 4.5%	Historically 3.8%		Include	d except owner/unforeseen
Work quality	CM is consistently better than SP			Good*	
Schedule efficiency	CM is consistently better than SP			Good*	
Potential for litigation (legal issues)	CM is consistently less than SP			Less than CM*	
Performance bonds	Same	Same		Same	
Contractual liquidated damage amounts	Same	Same		Same	

^{*} Assumed since WCPSS has not used this delivery method